

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/043,010	10/043,010 01/11/2002		Stanford R. Ovshinsky	2076	6131	
24963	7590	12/15/2006	EXAMINER			
		RSION DEVICES,	ZERVIGO	ZERVIGON, RUDY		
2956 WATERVIEW DRIVE ROCHESTER HILLS, MI 48309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	•		1763		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/043,010	OVSHINSKY, STANFORD R.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rudy Zervigon	1763		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commu	·	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 25-27,31-35,39 and 40 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25-27,31-35,39 and 40 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2002 is/are:	vn from consideration. I. r election requirement.	d to by the Examiner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1	. ,	
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Staç	ge	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "opposed planar exterior surfaces" and "" must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,010 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 25, 31, 33, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Turlot; Emmanuel et al. (US 6,502,530 B1). Turlot teaches a gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) for plasma enhanced deposition of semiconductor materials onto multiple webs of substrate material simultaneously comprising: (a) a cathode body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39): said cathode body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) comprising a monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) having two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and an edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) having a process gas distribution system (52,54,56,58,50; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) integrated entirely therein, said process gas distribution system (52,54,56,58,50; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) including: i) at least one primary gas distribution channel (52+horizontal portion between 52/54; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) formed into said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); said primary gas distribution channel (52+horizontal portion between 52/54; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) beginning at a first point on the edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines

Page 4

18-39) and extending nearly completely through said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6. lines 18-39) in a direction which is parallel to said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67); a plurality of second gas distribution channels (56+horizontal portion between 56/58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) branching off from said primary gas distribution channel (52+horizontal portion between 52/54; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and extending nearly completely to the edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) in a direction which is parallel to said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67); and iii) a plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) branching off from said secondary gas distribution channels (56+horizontal portion between 56/58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and extending to outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at one of said opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39), some of said plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) extending to gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at a first of said two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and the remainder of said plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) extending to gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at a second of said two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) such that the gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) are evenly dispersed on both of said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column

Art Unit: 1763

6, lines 18-39); and (b) a plurality of gas dispersion plates (horizontal portions above 50; Figure

Page 5

2) covering said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) so as

to prevent direct, line-of-sight travel of process gas from said gas outlets (vertical portions of

54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) to a substrate upon which semiconductor material is

to be deposited, as claimed by claim 25

Turlot further teaches:

i. The gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of claim 25, wherein

said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39), and said gas dispersion plates

(horizontal portions above 50; Figure 2) are formed from a metal or metallic alloy which

is noncreative with said process gases, as claimed by claim 31 - Applicant's claim

requirement of "is noncreative with said process gases" is a claim requirement of

intended use in the pending apparatus claims. Said use depends on the gas identity -

Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field

of use for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at

769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106). Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use

must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in

order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art

structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re

Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963);

MPEP2111.02).

ii. An apparatus for the plasma enhanced deposition of semiconductor materials onto one or

more webs of substrate material, said apparatus including: a gas distribution cathode (31;

Art Unit: 1763

Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) comprising: (a) a cathode body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); said cathode body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) comprising a monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) having two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and an edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) having a process gas distribution system (52,54,56,58,50; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) integrated entirely therein, said process gas distribution system (52,54,56,58,50; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) including; at least one primary gas distribution channel (52+horizontal portion between 52/54; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) formed into said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); said primal gas distribution channel beginning at a first point on the edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) and extending nearly completely through said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) in a direction which is parallel to said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67); ii) a plurality of secondary gas distribution channels (56+horizontal portion between 56/58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) branching off from said primary gas distribution channel (52+horizontal portion between 52/54; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and extending nearly completely to the edge (top, bottom; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) in a direction which is parallel to said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67); and iii) a plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) branching

off from said secondary gas distribution channels (56+horizontal portion between 56/58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and extending to gas outlets (vertical portions of 54.56.58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at one of said two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39), some of said plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) extending to gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at a first of said two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) and the remainder of said plurality of gas outlet channels (58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) extending to gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) at a second of said two opposed planar exterior surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) such that the gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) are evenly dispersed on both of said planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39); and (b) a plurality of gas dispersion plates (horizontal portions above 50; Figure 2) covering said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) so as to prevent direct, line-of-sight travel of process gas from said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) to a substrate upon which semiconductor material is to be deposited, as claimed by claim 33

iii. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) and said gas dispersion plates (horizontal portions above 50; Figure 2) of said gas

Application/Control Number: 10/043,010 Page 8

Art Unit: 1763

distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) are formed from a metal or metallic alloy which is nonreactive with said process gases, as claimed by claim 39 – Applicant's claim requirement of "is noncreative with said process gases" is a claim requirement of intended use in the pending apparatus claims. Said use depends on the gas identity – Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field of use for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at 769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106). Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 26, 27 and 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turlot; Emmanuel et al. (US 6,502,530 B1). Turlot is discussed above. Turlot does not teach:
 - i. The gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of claim 25, wherein said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) are evenly positioned on said two opposed planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) said from 1 to 4 inches apart, as claimed by claim 26

Art Unit: 1763

ii. The gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of claim 26, wherein said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) are evenly positioned on said two opposed planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) from 2 to 3 inches apart, as claimed by claim 27

Page 9

- iii. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) are evenly positioned on said two opposed planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) said from 1 to 4 inches apart, as claimed by claim 34
- iv. The apparatus of claim 34, wherein said gas outlets (vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) are evenly positioned on said two opposed planar surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of said monolithic body (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) from 2 to 3 inches apart, as claimed by claim 35

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the relative locations of Turlot's gas outlets.

Motivation to optimize the relative locations of Turlot's gas outlets is to promote even depositions and thereby avoid unwanted patterning as taught by Turlot (). Further, it is well established that the rearrangement of parts is considered obvious to those of ordinary skill (In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950); In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7

Application/Control Number: 10/043,010 Page 10

Art Unit: 1763

(CCPA 1975); Ex parte Chicago Rawhide Manufacturing Co., 223 USPQ 351, 353 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1984).; MPEP 2144.04)

3. Claims 32 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turlot; Emmanuel et al. (US 6,502,530 B1) and Drage; David J. (US 4,590,042 Å) in view of Dhindsa; Rajinder et al (US 6,786,175 B2). Turlot and Drange are discussed above. Turlot and Drange do not teach:

i. The gas distribution cathode (31; Figure 2; column 6, lines 18-39) of claim 31, wherein said metal or metallic alloy which is nonreactive with said process gases is stainless steel, as claimed by claim 32

Dhindsa teaches a stainless steel cathode showerhead (310; Figure 3) for plasma operations (column 8; lines 14-33) including process gas distribution holes (354; Figure 3) with optimal spacing as taught by Dhindsa.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Drage to use stainless steel material for his Drage's dispersion plates (15; Figure 1; column 2; lines 26-68) as taught by Dhindsa.

Motivation for Drage to use stainless steel material for his Drage's dispersion plates (15; Figure 1; column 2; lines 26-68) as taught by Dhindsa is to enhance transfer heat through Drange's dispersion plates as taught by Dhindsa (column 8; lines 14-33).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant states:

Art Unit: 1763

the Office has completely twisted and mischaracterized the teachings of the '530 reference in an

Page 11

attempt to meet the claim limitations of the present invention.

6. In response, In response to applicant's argument that Turlot is nonanalogous art, it has

been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not,

then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned,

in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977

F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Turlot is demonstrated (see above),

the Turlot is both in the field of applicant's endeavor and is reasonably pertinent to the particular

problem with which the applicant was concerned – gas distribution in reactors.

7. Applicant states:

The Office suggests that the gas distribution cathode of the '530 reference is only reference

number 31 of figure 2 of the '530 reference. This is completely inaccurate. The plasma chamber

of figure 2 of the '530 reference is reference number 36 and the gas distribution cathode of the

1530. reference actually minimally includes components 31, 60 and 40. This is clearly not a

monolithic body.

In response, constant cross-hatching element 31 is a "monlithic body" in Turlot's Figure 2.

Applicant states;

Art Unit: 1763

The horizontal portions (or any other portion) of reference numerals 50, 54, 56 and 58 are not

exterior, nor are they planar.

In response, the Examiner disagrees. Turlott's horizontal portions as opposed planar exterior

surfaces (horizontal portions of 50,54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67) of reference

numerals 50, 54, 56 and 58 are exterior to body 31 and planar horizontally.

Applicant states:

The Office the goes on to say that the Internal gas distiibution network (reference numbers

50, 52, 54, 56 and 58) of the '530 reference is not only both the exterior surfaces and the gas

distribution network of the monolithic cathode as discussed above, but is also the gas outlet

ports within the exterior surfaces of the monolithic cathode of the '530 reference.

In response, Applicant is again directed to the above ground of rejection whereby the claimed

outlets are Turlot's vertical portions of 54,56,58; Figure 2; column 5, lines 27-67.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 12

Art Unit: 1763

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1442. The examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am through 7pm. The official fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (571) 273-8300. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (571) 272-1700. If the examiner can not be reached please contact the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh, at (571) 272-1700.

1435.